

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,582	03/16/2000	Judith Fitzpatrick	SRX 110	1732	
75	590 08/19/2002				
Patrea L Pabst			EXAMINER		
Arnall Golden & 2800 One Atlan	ntic Center		GABEL, GAILENE		
1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-3450			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641 DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/526,582	FITZPATRICK ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire by ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the c	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 May 2002. Appears 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		and NOTE belowly	
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below),	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		rially radical are alreadified the	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		·	•
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	p□ will be entered and an w or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. ☐ Other: Christyal	L. L. Chin HER L. CHIN Amile	8/15/02	
PRIMARY	EXAMINER IP 1800764/	8/15/02	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to include that the method of determining the level of an apolipoprotein in saliva, requires specific use of "kit comprising means for collection ..., antibodies ..., means for comparing ..." which introduces further issues of indefiniteness under the provisions of 35 USC 112, second paragraph, i.e. claim 1 fails to clearly define in the method steps, how the new limitations which incorporate the kit, relate cooperatively and functionally, with the elements currently recited in the claim; thus, requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment of claim 1 introduces new issues for consideration. Further, contrary to Applicant's contention, claims 1-23, including claim 12, are not allowable and fail to obviate the teaching of the prior art currently of record. See page 8 of Office Action in Paper No. 12, for the record. No acknowledgment has been made to date, of an allowable subject matter that has been identified in any of the claims, currently of record.